



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/621,988	12/04/90	OPPERMANN	H CRP-001CP2DV

EDMUND R. PITCHER, ESQ.
TESTA, HURWITZ, & THIBEAULT
53 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER	
NUTTER, N	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1503	14

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

06/05/92

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Robin D. Kelley (attorney) (3) _____
(2) Nathan M. Nutter (examiner) (4) _____

Date of interview 10 April 1992

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 22

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants'

counsel contacted Examiner Nutter to ascertain the status of claim 22,
indicated in Paper No. 11 as having been withdrawn from consideration.
This indication was in error as shown by the original restriction
requirement of Paper No. 9. Claim 22 is, thus, not withdrawn from
consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Nathan M. Nutter
Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/621,988	12/04/90	OPPERMANN	

EDMUND R. PITCHER, ESQ.
TESTA, HURWITZ, & THIBEAULT
53 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

H CRP-001CP2DV	
EXAMINER	
NUTTER, N	PAPER NUMBER
ART UNIT	15

DATE MAILED: 1503

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

06/05/92

(1) Robin D. Kelley (attorney) (3) _____
(2) Nathan M. Nutter (examiner) (4) _____

Date of interview: 1 June 1992

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 25 and 26

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' counsel was contacted to correct the dependencies of claims 25 and 26 since claim 21 has been cancelled. This will be done by an Examiner's Amendment to the Specification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☒ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Nathan M. Nutter
Examiner's Signature